

Component 10: Annual Reporting

- A) Maintain and convey a record of annual site evaluations which shows their location and compliance status.
- B) Report estimated timeframe and staff resources needed to complete remaining site evaluations in the County
- C) Maintain a record of estimated costs of corrective measures for each parcel that has been evaluated and for which corrective measures have been estimated.
- D) Maintain and convey a record showing parcels where public cost sharing has been applied to implement standards and prohibitions, the amount and source of those funds, and the landowner share.
- E) Maintain and convey a record and location of parcels receiving notifications under component 5.B. and violation letters under Component 8.A.
- F) Maintain and convey a record of the annual cost of technical and administrative assistance needed to administer agricultural performance standards and prohibitions, as established in NR151.
- G) Other reports as may be required in ATCP50.
- H) Compile locally developed reports into regional and statewide NR 151 Progress Reports.

Note: Program partners will jointly develop reporting forms. State agencies will provide reporting forms and guidance to counties on how these forms should be filled out. State agencies will assume responsibility for compiling county reports into statewide reports.

Question 19: Will your County conduct the above reporting activities

14 Yes	5 No	23 Some But Not All	19 Unsure
--------	------	---------------------	-----------

County	If "Some", which reporting activities would county do/not do
Buffalo	To be successful in reporting, the data needs to be easy to collect and maintain. You will need to be specific on how you want each county to collect data so they have it available for the annual reporting. This is something the county would need to know at the beginning of the year. Time reporting is a good example. We tract the hours each staff work within a project or program each day, for example, priority watershed program, TRM Grant, County Tree Program, etc., however we do not tract the specific task they complete within that project or program such as survey, design, implementation, administrative duties etc. When the end of the year comes and the DNR for example, is looking for LCD staff reports and needs the hours each staff person worked on a specific task within the project or program that would not be available, because we were not aware that it was something we would need to provide in our time report and therefore that data would not have been collected.
Burnett	It depends; we don't know at this time-many of these records will be kept no matter what but we will not commit to doing anything you happen to decide you want just because you want it (item G)
Calumet	We can try to report much of the information but its extent will depend on our degree of involvement in each activity. The annual reporting requirements seem somewhat tedious and excessive. D should be maintained by the respective granting agencies of the cost share funds. Cost share agreement forms could be modified to include the requested information.
Dodge	We will probably track items A, E, F, and G. We may consider tracking items C & D as workload and time permit.
Door	To be determined. Level of State funding to warrant excessive reporting to State agencies? Reporting will be no more extensive than the amount/level of State funding provided.

Fond du Lac	Will do items A and D, as staff time permits. Will not do the remaining items without the state showing an absolute need for the information and then only if existing electronic reporting system supports the information being requested.
Grant	Staff availability again (e-file); Providing we have enough staff to accomplish the task (hard copy)
Juneau	Onsite evaluation/recordkeeping
Marinette	All but H
Monroe	We would likely do this, but I don't like the "other reports as may be required" category
Oconto	We should be able to keep track of some of these records but some of it seems to be unnecessary because it will be part of a contract with DATCP.
Polk	You (DNR/DATCP) must develop a process of asking us the right questions or data, we will maintain some of the elements but without assistance we will not be able to do everything
Price	(E-file checked "Some But Not All" (counted); hard copy checked "No.") D,E,F (e-file)
Richland	A, B, F
Sheboygan	The County would perform A,B,C & D above.
Vernon	We'll need to evaluate on a year to year basis.
Vilas	Cost-share program support; I&E activities.
Washburn	Not H
Waukesha	We will report what we must to maintain our grants and to administer the program in a logical, efficient fashion – and no more

County	If "No", "Unsure" or "Some But Not All, please describe why.
Ashland, Bayfield, Douglas, Iron	There are enough reporting requirements now!
Brown	Brown County will implement state standards that are consistent with existing County Ordinances: Animal Waste Management, Agriculture Shore land Management, Floodplains and Shore lands ordinance provisions related to Buffer Strips. All other work needed to conform to state standards will be conditional upon receiving staff funding from State of Wisconsin unless it is located in an active Priority Watershed Project(with staffing and cost share provided by state) and is an eligible practice.
Clark	Only report information that is currently compiled.
Crawford	Willing to comply with the intent of the reporting activities but unsure how much overlap and duplicate reporting will occur.
Dane	Within our means and capabilities
Dunn	We will if someone comes up with an electronic format for entering and tracking plans, otherwise this will be unachievable.
Eau Claire	See above (Resolve issues as previously stated plus this is entirely dependant upon if the County agrees to implement this)
Green	Depends on Staff
Iowa	The amount of time is so ambiguous (staff and budget dependent).
Jefferson	Funds, staff and equipment to do so

Kenosha	Without more information defining the responsible agencies involved, Kenosha County is unsure of the role it will have in annual reporting.
Lafayette	Will NRCS be reporting also? Would depend on staff and funding. DNR should provide the appropriate tracking/reporting methods.
Langlade	(Did not answer, but comments indicate a strong No.) Something the county can't do because of lack of funding and staff
Marathon	Need to upgrade our reporting system and decide what items are minimum.
Outagamie	We have questions as to the need for some of the activities listed. It is likely we could do such activities but that would be dependent on our agreed to role in this whole thing. We are especially concerned with G & H. They seem too open ended and we all know how the State likes lots and lots of reports.
Ozaukee	As long as funds are still available to compensate county.
Portage	Need to have a better idea of how much time this reporting will take from getting conservation on the land.
Racine	Depends on workload and time constraints.
Richland	Others [besides A, B, F] depend on budgets.
Rusk	We will complete any required reports, but will not commit to reporting activity we were not involved in—and we probably won't be compiling information into regional reports.
St. Croix	The State will need to streamline the reporting efforts. I'm afraid that staff will be spending considerable amount of time generating reports. I'm all for accountability, but let's determine what we absolutely need before requesting numerous reports from LWCDs.
Taylor	Planning and reporting can take up a considerable amount of time. Time that is more appropriately spent on working with landowners to get conservation on the land. DNR/DATCP need to consider this when negotiating or outlining any/all planning and reporting requirements to counties.
Trempealeau	We will provide reports that are of value to the county or are statutorily or contractually required by the state agencies.
Washington	Unsure because we do not know what end it will serve. DNR and DATCP are equally responsible for reporting progress on the nonpoint program, and the agencies must agree on the things everyone needs to report on an annual basis, and include them in their reporting requirements. We agree the above items are important information to show accountability to the public and to garner legislative support. But, if only a handful of counties are going to provide it, and the agencies do not compile the information in a format that garners such support, what's the use? If this is the case, I feel our participation in this component is not justifiable. Otherwise it is.
Winnebago	Perhaps. It really depends on how much unnecessary paperwork is involved. None of us need more 'paper shuffling'. Keep it simple and to the point!
Wood	Probably

LaCrosse Co.: No submission. New county structure; uncertain how will proceed

Lincoln Co.: No submission, but sent letter. Does not want to commit without additional information about state commitment and funding.

Menomonee Co.: Does not see need for ag performance standards (300 ac. classified as ag use; 0% soil erosion rate.

Pierce Co.: No submission, but sent letter. LCC not able to commit to implementation activities but willing to discuss future role.